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Abstract. In this paper, we present an improved hybrid optimization algorithm, which was applied to the hard combinatorial optimization problem, the quadratic assignment problem (QAP). This is an extended version of the earlier hybrid heuristic approach proposed by the author. The new algorithm is distinguished for the further exploitation of the idea of hybridization of the well-known efficient heuristic algorithms, namely, simulated annealing (SA) and tabu search (TS). The important feature of our algorithm is the so-called "cold restart mechanism", which is used in order to avoid a possible "stagnation" of the search. This strategy resulted in very good solutions obtained during simulations with a number of the QAP instances (test data). These solutions show that the proposed algorithm outperforms both the "pure" SA/TS algorithms and the earlier author’s combined SA and TS algorithm.
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1. Introduction

The quadratic assignment problem (QAP) is the famous combinatorial optimization problem. It is formulated as follows. Let two matrices $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ and $B = (b_{ij})_{n \times n}$ and the set $\Pi$ of permutations of the integers from 1 to $n$ be given. Find a permutation $\pi = (\pi(1), \pi(2), \ldots, \pi(n)) \in \Pi$ that minimizes

$$z(\pi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} b_{\pi(i)\pi(j)}. \quad (1.1)$$

One of the important applications of the QAP is computer-aided design (CAD), namely, the placement of electronic components [23, 26, 40]. In this context, the entries of the matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ can be interpreted as the numbers of connections
The entries of the matrix \( B = (b_{kl})_{n \times n} \) represent distances between locations (positions). The permutation \( \pi = (\pi(1), \pi(2), \ldots, \pi(n)) \) corresponds to a certain placement of components to locations (\( \pi(i) \) denotes the location that component \( i \) is placed into). Thus, \( z \), or more precisely \( 2z \), can be treated as an estimation of total wire length obtained when \( n \) components are placed into \( n \) locations (see Fig. 1). Description of the other applications of the QAP one can be found in [7, 8, 10].

![Graphical interpretation of the quadratic assignment problem.](image)

**Figure 1.** Graphical interpretation of the quadratic assignment problem. For given matrices \( A \) and \( B \) the permutation corresponding to optimal assignment is as follows: \((2,3,1,4)\). The connection length that corresponds this assignment is equal to 12.

It has been proved that the QAP (like many other combinatorial optimization problems) is NP-hard [38]. For example, QAPs of size \( n > 36 \) are not, to this date, practically solvable in terms of obtaining exact solutions. Therefore, heuristic techniques have to be used for solving medium- and large-scale QAPs (see, for example, [14, 15, 16, 29, 32, 41]; for a more detailed list of heuristics for the QAP, see [8, 10, 37]).

First we introduce some basic definitions related to the combinatorial (discrete) optimization. So, let \( S \) be a set of solutions of a combinatorial optimization problem with an objective function \( f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 \) (without loss of generality, we assume that \( f \) seeks a global minimum). Furthermore, let \( N : S \rightarrow 2^S \) be a neighbourhood function which defines for each \( s \in S \) a set \( N(s) \subseteq S \) – a set of neighbouring solutions of \( s \). Each neighbouring solution \( s' \in N(s) \) can be reached directly from the current solution \( s \) by an operation, which is called a *move*. Usually, the move follows the objective function evaluation which is called a *trial*. An iteration is said to be performed when \( |N(s)| \) trials are done.

Regarding the QAP, \( \Pi = \{ \pi| \pi = (\pi(1), \pi(2), \ldots, \pi(n)) \} \), where \( |\Pi| = n! \), corresponds to \( S \), and \( z \) (defined according to (1.1)) plays a role of the objective function. In the case of the QAP, the commonly used neighbourhood function is so-called 2-exchange (pairwise exchange) function \( N_2 \) which can be defined as follows:

\[
N_2(\pi) = \{ \pi'| \pi' \in \Pi, \ \rho(\pi, \pi') = 2 \},
\]  

(1.2)
where $\pi \in \Pi$ and $\rho(\pi, \pi')$ is a "distance" between the current permutation $\pi$ and the neighbouring one $\pi'$:

$$\rho(\pi, \pi') = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{sgn}(\pi(i) - \pi'(i)).$$

In this case, a move from the permutation $\pi$ to the permutation $\pi'$ can formally be defined by using a 2-way perturbation operator

$$p_{ij} : \Pi \rightarrow \Pi \ (i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n; \ i \neq j),$$

which exchanges $i$th and $j$th elements in the current permutation (notation $\pi' = \pi \oplus p_{ij}$ means that $\pi'$ is obtained from $\pi$ by applying the perturbation $p_{ij}$). For a permutation $\pi$ and a perturbation $p_{ij}$, it is more efficient to compute $\Delta z(\pi, i, j) = z(\pi \oplus p_{ij}) - z(\pi)$ than $z(\pi \oplus p_{ij})$ : the direct computation of $z(\pi \oplus p_{ij})$ needs time $O(n^2)$, whereas $\Delta z(\pi, i, j)$ can be calculated in $O(n)$ operations:

$$\Delta z(\pi, i, j) = (a_{ij} - a_{ji})(b_{\pi(j)\pi(i)} - b_{\pi(i)\pi(j)}) + \sum_{k=1, k \neq i, j}^{n} [(a_{ki} - a_{kj})(b_{\pi(k)\pi(i)} - b_{\pi(k)\pi(j)})]. \quad (1.3)$$

where $a_{ii}(b_{ii}) = \text{const}, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Moreover, for two consecutive permutations $\pi$ and $\pi' = \pi \oplus p_{uv}$, if all the values $\Delta z(\pi, i, j)$ have been stored (i.e. already calculated in previous iteration), then the values

$$\Delta z(\pi', i, j) = z(\pi' \oplus p_{ij} - z(\pi'), \ i \neq u, v, \ j \neq (u, v)$$

can be computed in time $O(1)$ [42]:

$$\Delta z(\pi', i, j) = \Delta z(\pi, i, j) + (a_{iu} - a_{iv} + a_{ju} - a_{jv})(b_{\pi(i)\pi(u)} - b_{\pi(i)\pi(v)}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (a_{ui} - a_{vi} + a_{uj} - a_{vj})(b_{\pi(u)\pi(i)} - b_{\pi(v)\pi(i)} + b_{\pi(u)\pi(j)} - b_{\pi(v)\pi(j)}). \quad (1.4)$$

However, if $i = u$ or $i = v$ or $j = u$ or $j = v$, then the formula (1.3) should be applied.

Two main alternatives exist when exploring the neighbouring solutions. First, choose the next potential solution at random. Second, explore the neighbourhood in a systematic way. In the case of the 2-exchange neighbourhood function $N_2$, the order of search can be established by a sequence $\{p_{ij(\pi)}\}$. The indices $i^{(k)}, j^{(k)}$ are easily determined by the following expression

$$\begin{cases} 
    j^{(k)} = ii \overline{f}(j^{(k-1)} \leq n, i^{(k-1)}, \ ii \overline{f}(j^{(k-1)} < n - 1, i^{(k-1)} + 1, 1)), \\
    i^{(k)} = ii \overline{f}(j^{(k-1)} < n, j^{(k-1)} + 1, i^{(k)} + 1),
\end{cases}$$

where

$$ii \overline{f}(x, y_1, y_2) = \begin{cases} 
    y_1, & \text{if } x \text{ is true} \\
    y_2, & \text{otherwise};
\end{cases}$$
$k$ is the current trial number ($k = 1, 2, \ldots$); $i^{(k)}, j^{(k)}$ are new indices; $i^{(k-1)}, j^{(k-1)}$ are old indices ($i^{(0)} = 1, j^{(0)} = 1$), $K = |N_2| = n(n-1)/2$ trials are needed in order to explore all the solutions of $N_2$.

The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 hybrid optimization strategies (paradigms) are outlined, whereas in Sections 3, 4 we survey the simulated annealing (SA) and tabu search (TS) techniques, which were used in our hybrid approach. Section 5 describes an improved hybrid optimization algorithm for the quadratic assignment problem. The results of simulations are presented in Section 6. Finally, Section 7 completes the paper with concluding remarks.

2. Hybrid optimization strategies

Over the last years, hybrid optimization algorithms have become very popular among researchers in combinatorial optimization. First of all, this is due to promising results obtained by using hybrid (combined) approaches. Combinations of both single-solution algorithms (such as greedy heuristic search, simulated annealing, tabu search) and population-based algorithms (such as genetic, evolutionary algorithms) have been proven to be extremely efficient for many combinatorial optimization problems [17, 19, 36]. Different hybrid meta-heuristics, i.e. paradigms of hybridization of heuristics can be proposed [43]. Further, two simple paradigms are outlined very roughly: first, a sequential hybridization, second, an embedded hybridization. Without loss of generality, we discuss the hybrid scheme that consists of two heuristics only.

```plaintext
procedure sequential_hybridization /* H_1 + H_2 + \ldots */
   ...
   apply heuristic H_1;
   apply heuristic H_2;
   ...
end
```

Figure 2. Pseudo-code for the framework of the sequential hybrid meta-heuristic.

So, in the first case, the self-contained heuristics $H_1$ and $H_2$ are executed in a sequence (one after other), the heuristic $H_2$ using the output of the heuristic $H_1$ as its input (i.e. the heuristics act in a pipeline fashion). Here, $H_2$ can also be thought of as a "post-analysis" procedure which is applied to the solution found by $H_1$. For example, a greedy (or more sophisticated) heuristic can be used to generate good initial solutions for the genetic/evolutionary algorithm [19]. In the second variant, the heuristic $H_2$ is embedded into heuristic $H_1$ (i.e. heuristics act as cooperating agents). For example, deterministic local search technique may be embedded into simulated annealing (as proposed in [31]) or genetic algorithm (see, for example, [12, 17, 19]). For a more formal presentation of the above paradigms, see Figures 2.3.
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Figure 3. Pseudo-code for the framework of the embedded hybrid meta-heuristic.

However, disposing of these two hybridization paradigms only may be insufficient for complex combinatorial problems, like the quadratic assignment problem. These problems can be seen as highly "discontinuous": if one "walks" in a fictitious solution space, the qualities of the solutions can differ dramatically, i.e. the "landscapes" of these problems are very rugged. Another distinguishing feature is a presence of a big number of local optima, which are often spread over the whole solution space (see Figure 4).

Figure 4. Example of a complex "landscape".

In these situations, the strategies described above usually face a phenomenon called a "stagnation" of the search (also known as a "chaotic attractor" [5]). This means that the search trajectory is confined in a limited part (region) of the solution space: if this part does not contain the global optimum, it will never be found.

An enhanced hybrid strategy (we call it an iterative hybridization) is designed in order to try to overcome these difficulties. In fact, this hybridization strategy is an extension of the sequential hybridization. The extension is constructed in such a manner that self-contained heuristics, say $H_1$ and $H_2$, are used in a cyclic (iterative) way, i.e. the heuristic $H_2$ uses the output of the heuristic $H_1$, and the heuristic $H_1$ uses the output of the heuristic $H_2$ (starting from the second iteration). The paradigm of the iterative hybrid strategy is shown in Fig. 5.

In our hybrid optimization algorithm for the QAP, which will be presented in Section 5, we use simulated annealing approach based procedure in the role of

```plaintext
procedure embedded_hybridization /* $H_1(H_2(...)*)*
...
apply heuristic $H_1$;
...
end

procedure $H_1$
...
apply heuristic $H_2$;
...
end
```

In our hybrid optimization algorithm for the QAP, which will be presented in Section 5, we use simulated annealing approach based procedure in the role of
procedure iterative_hybridization
  \( f(H_1 + H_2 + \cdots) + (H_1 + H_2 + \cdots) + \cdots \)
  ...
  repeat
    apply heuristic \( H_1 \);
    apply heuristic \( H_2 \);
  ...
  until termination criterion satisfied
end

Figure 5. Pseudo-code for the framework of the iterative hybrid meta-heuristic.

the heuristic \( H_1 \), and tabu search approach based procedure as the "post-analysis" heuristic \( H_2 \). Note that hybridization of SA and TS was also used for solving the other problems (see, for example, [18, 36, 45].) Before describing the details of our hybrid algorithm, we give short overviews of SA and TS approaches.

3. Simulated annealing

Simulated annealing originated in statistical mechanics. It is based on a Monte Carlo model that was used by Metropolis et al., 1953 [33], to simulate energy levels in cooling solids. Boltzmann’s law was used to determine the probability of accepting a perturbation resulting in a change \( \Delta E \) in the energy at the current temperature \( t \):

\[
P = \begin{cases} 
1, & \Delta E < 0, \\
e^{-\Delta E/Ct}, & \Delta E \geq 0,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( C \) is a Boltzmann’s constant. Cerny, 1982 [11], and Kirkpatrick et al., 1983 [27] have applied firstly SA to solve combinatorial optimization problems. Several researchers tested SA on the QAP, as well [6, 13, 35, 44]. The principle of the simulated annealing is simple: start from a random solution. Given a solution \( s \) select a neighbouring solution \( s' \) and compute the difference of the objective function values, \( \Delta f = f(s') - f(s) \). If the objective function value is improved (\( \Delta f < 0 \)), then replace the current solution by the new one, i.e. perform a move, and use resulting configuration as a starting point for the next trial. If \( \Delta f \geq 0 \), then accept a move with probability

\[
P(\Delta f) = e^{-\Delta f/t},
\]

where \( t \) is the current temperature (Boltzmann’s constant is not required when we apply the algorithm to combinatorial problems). Regarding the above probabilistic acceptance, it is achieved by generating a random number in \([0,1]\) and comparing it against the threshold \( e^{-\Delta f/t} \) (here, the exponential function plays a role of an acceptance function). The procedure is repeated until a termination criterion is satisfied, for example, a predefined number of trials has been performed. As a resulting solution, usually the "best so far" (BSF) solution (instead of so-called "where you are" (WYA) solution) is returned by the algorithm. The paradigm of SA in a high-level algorithmic language form is presented in Fig. 6.
procedure simulated_annealing
/* input: \text{s}^{(0)} – the initial solution; output: \text{s}^* – the best solution found */
set \text{s} = \text{s}^{(0)}, \text{s}^* = \text{s};
determine the initial temperature \text{t}_0, set \text{t} = \text{t}_0;
repeat /* main cycle */
select new solution \text{s}' from the neighbourhood of the current solution \text{s};
calculate \text{f}' = \text{f}(\text{s}') - \text{f}(\text{s});
generate uniform random number \text{r} from the interval [0,1];
\text{if} (\text{f}' < 0) \text{or} (\text{r} < e^{-\Delta \text{f}/\text{t}}) \text{then} set \text{s} = \text{s}';
\text{if} \text{f}(\text{s}) < \text{f}(\text{s}') \text{then} set \text{s}^* = \text{s}; /* save the best so far solution */
update the temperature \text{t};
until stopping condition is satisfied
end

Figure 6. Pseudo-code for the simulated annealing.

SA algorithms differ mainly with respect to a cooling (annealing) schedule implemented. The cooling schedule, in turn, is specified by:

a) an initial (and final) value of the temperature,
b) an updating function for changing the temperature.

The most important thing is how the initial temperature \text{t}_0 is specified. If the initial value of the temperature is chosen too high, then too many bad uphill moves are accepted, while if it is too low, then the search will quickly drop into a local optimum without possibility to escape form it. Thus, an optimum initial temperature must be somewhere between these two extremes.

The temperature is not a constant, but changes over time according to the updating function. One of the popular updating functions (known as Lundy-Mees schedule) is characterized by the following relation [30]:

\[ t_{k+1} = \frac{t_k}{1 + \beta t_k}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, \quad t_0 = \text{const}, \quad \beta << t_0. \]  

(3.2)

It is easy to relate the coefficient \beta and the number of trials, i.e. the schedule length, \text{L}, under condition that the initial and final values of the temperature \((\text{t}_0, \text{t}_f)\) are predefined:

\[ \beta = \frac{t_0 - t_f}{\text{L} t_0 t_f}. \]  

(3.3)

In theory, the simulated annealing procedure should be continued until the final temperature \text{t}_f is zero, but in practice the other stopping criteria are applied, for example:

a) the value of the objective function has not decreased for a large number of consecutive trials;
b) the number of accepted moves has become less than a certain small threshold for a large number of consecutive trials;
c) a fixed a priori number of trials/iterations has been executed.

For more details about simulated annealing, the reader is referred to [1, 2, 28].

4. Tabu search

Tabu search technique was developed by Hansen and Jaumard, 1987 [24], and Glover, 1989, 1990 [20, 21]. TS has been proven to be a powerful tool for solving many combinatorial problems, among them the QAP (see, for example, [5, 14, 39, 42]). Tabu search, like simulated annealing, is based on the neighbourhood search with local-optima avoidance but in a rather deterministic way. The key idea of tabu search is allowing climbing moves when no improving neighbouring solution exists. However, some moves are to be forbidden at a present search iteration in order to avoid cycling.

TS starts from an initial solution $s$, maybe, randomly generated in $S$ and moves repeatedly from a solution to a neighbouring one. At each step of the procedure, a set (subset) $N(s)$ of the neighbouring solutions of the current solution $s$ is considered and the move that improves most the objective function value $f$ is chosen. If there are no improving moves, TS algorithm chooses one that least degrades (increases) the objective function, i.e. a move is performed to the best neighbour $s'$ in $N(s)$ (even if $f(s') > f(s)$).

In order to avoid returning to the local optimal solution just visited, the reverse move must be forbidden (prohibited). This is done by storing this move (or an attribute of the move) in a memory (or more precisely short-term-memory) managed like a circular list $T$ and called a tabu list. The tabu list keeps information on the last $h$ ($h = |T|$) moves which have been done during the search process (the parameter $h$ is called a tabu list size). Thus, a move from $s$ to $s'$ is considered as tabu if it (or its attribute) is contained in the list $T$. This way of proceeding hinders the algorithm from returning to a solution reached in the last $h$ iterations. However, it might be worth returning after a while to a solution visited previously to search in another direction. Consequently, an aspiration criterion is introduced to permit the tabu status to be dropped under certain favourable circumstances. Typically, a tabu move from $s$ to $s'$ is permitted if $f(s') < f(s^*)$, where $s^*$ is the best solution found so far. The resulting decision rule within TS may thus be described as follows: replace the current solution $s$ by the new solution $s'$, if

$$f(s') < f(s^*) \quad \text{or} \quad (s' = \arg \min_{s'' \in N(s)} f(s'') \text{ and } s' \text{ is not tabu}).$$

The whole process is stopped as soon as a termination criterion is satisfied (for example, a fixed a priori number of trials has been performed). The tabu search paradigm is shown in Figure 7.

The TS algorithms differ mainly with respect to the basic ingredients discussed above (i.e. tabu list, aspiration criterion) and other additional features (for example, a long-term-memory, diversification mechanisms, etc.). The main forms of the tabu search are: deterministic tabu search (strict tabu search, fixed tabu search, reactive tabu search) and stochastic tabu search (probabilistic tabu search, robust tabu search). For more details on the TS technique, the reader is addressed to [22, 25].
procedure tabu_search
  /* input: s(0) – the initial solution; output: s* – the best solution found */
  set s = s(0), s* = s;
  initialize the tabu list T;
  repeat /* main cycle*/
    given neighbourhood function N,
    tabu list T and aspiration criterion,
    find the best possible solution s' ∈ N(s);
    set s = s'; /* replace the current solution by the new one*/
    insert the solution s (or its attribute)
    into the tabu list T;
    if f(s) < f(s*) then set s* = s /* save the best so far solution */
    update the tabu list T (or its size) (if necessary)
  until stopping condition is satisfied
end

Figure 7. Pseudo-code for the tabu search.

5. An improved hybrid simulated annealing and tabu search algorithm for the QAP

Now we describe details of our hybrid strategy for the QAP. It is distinguished for the following structure: 1) simulated annealing algorithm, 2) tabu search algorithm, and 3) hybridization scheme.

5.1. Simulated annealing algorithm for the QAP (SA-QAP)

One of the important features of our implementation of the simulated annealing is that we use an extended approach of determining the values of the initial and final temperatures (these values are crucial for the SA algorithm, as mentioned in Section 3). Typically, the initial (and final) temperature is a function of the minimum and maximum differences in the objective function values obtained by performing a fixed number of moves before starting the annealing [13]. In our SA algorithm, we ignore the maximum difference; instead, we use the average difference. The formula of calculating the initial and final temperatures ($t_0, t_f$) looks, thus, as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
  t_0 &= (1 - \lambda_1)\Delta z_{\text{min}} + \lambda_1 \Delta z_{\text{avg}}, \\
  t_f &= (1 - \lambda_2)\Delta z_{\text{min}} + \lambda_2 \Delta z_{\text{avg}},
\end{align*}
$$

(5.1)

where $\Delta z_{\text{min}}, \Delta z_{\text{avg}}$ are, respectively, the minimum and average differences in the objective function values; $\lambda_1 \in (0, 1]; \lambda_2 \in [0, 1); \lambda_1 > \lambda_2$. In fact, the execution of the algorithm is controlled by operating with these factors. By choosing appropriate values of $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$, one can control the cooling process flexibly. For example, having $\lambda_2 = \text{const}$ it is obvious that the larger the value of $\lambda_1$, the higher the initial temperature; on the other hand, the larger the difference $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$, the more "rapid" the cooling. We use $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ and $\lambda_2 = 0.05$. 

Another property of the SA algorithm is related to an intelligent annealing technique. The key idea is that the temperature is not monotone decreasing, but oscillating; that is, a re-annealing (a repeating sequence of coolings and heatings) is considered instead of the straightforward annealing (see also [3, 6]). We propose the re-annealing technique which is based on so-called dynamic cooling schedule. The parameters of this schedule (schedule length, initial and final temperatures) are adaptively changed during execution of the algorithm. We use a Lundy-Mees function based temperature oscillation (LM-oscillation) that is "process-dependent", i.e. it depends upon the former "behaviour" of the (re)annealing. The schedule is as follows: set the schedule length \( L \) to \( Q_{SA} n (n - 1)/2 \) \((Q_{SA} \geq 1)\) and start with the initial temperature defined by the formula (5.1). The temperature is then being updated according to the formula (3.2), the coefficient \( \beta \) is known from the formula (3.3). When \( 0.5|N_2| = n(n - 1)/4 \) consecutive moves are rejected, stop the (preliminary) cooling. After cooling is stopped, the temperature is immediately increased (i.e. the system is "heated up"), and the annealing with the new parameters starts. Additionally, a deterministic downhill search procedure CRAFT [4] is applied to the best solution found. The process is continued until a stopping criterion is satisfied, i.e. the current iteration number exceeds \( Q_{SA} \), where \( Q_{SA} \) is the maximum number of iterations.

The detailed template of the SA algorithm for the QAP (SA-QAP) is presented in Figure 8 (see also [35]).

5.2. Tabu search algorithm for the QAP (TS-QAP)

Our version of the tabu search algorithm for the QAP is based on a slightly modified robust tabu search (RTS) procedure due to Taillard [42]. Very roughly, our algorithm consists of maintaining the tabu list \( T \) by constructing and updating it. The tabu list is organized as an \( n \times n \) integer matrix \( T = (t_{ij})_{n \times n} \), where \( n \) is the problem size. At the beginning, all the entries of \( T \) are set to zero. As the search progresses, the entry \( t_{ij} \) stores the current number of the iteration plus the tabu list size, \( h \), i.e. the number of the future iteration starting at which \( i \)th and \( j \)th elements of the permutation may again be interchanged. In this case, a move consisting of exchanging \( i \)th and \( j \)th elements is tabu if the value of \( t_{ij} \) is equal or greater than the current iteration number (this means that \( i \)th and \( j \)th elements were interchanged during the last \( h \) iterations).

The tabu list size \( h \) is not a constant – it is changed randomly during the search process. In our implementation, \( h \) is chosen between \( h_{\text{min}} = 0.4n \) and \( h_{\text{max}} = 0.6n \) and changed every \( 2h_{\text{max}} \) iterations. The standard aspiration criterion is used, i.e. the tabu status of a move is ignored (a tabu move is allowed to be selected) if the move results in a solution (permutation) that is better than the best solution found so far.

In addition, we use the formula (1.4) to accelerate the evaluation of the neighbouring solutions of the current solution. Thus, the complete evaluation of the 2-exchange neighbourhood takes \( O(n^2) \) operations, except the first iteration, which takes \( O(n^3) \) operations (see formula (1.3). The run time of the tabu search procedure is controlled by the number of iterations, \( Q_{TS} \).

The detailed template of the TS algorithm (TS-QAP) is presented in Figure 9.
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procedure SA-QAP /* simulated annealing algorithm for the QAP */
/* input: π – the current (initial) permutation, n – the problem size */
/* QSA – the number of iterations (QSA ≥ 1) */
/* λ1, λ2 – the initial and final temperature factors */
(0 < λ1 ≤ 1, 0 ≤ λ2 < 1, λ1 > λ2) */
/* output: π∗ – the best permutation found */
π∗ = π;

found Δz_{min}, Δz_{avg} by performing n(n - 1)/2 random moves starting from π;
M := QSA(n - 1)/2, L0 := M;
/* M – the number of trials, L0 – the initial cooling schedule length */
initialize cooling schedule parameters L, t0, tf, β;
t := t0, i := 1, j := 1, rejected_count := 0, oscillation := 'FALSE';
for k := 1 to M do begin /* main loop */
i := ii(f(j < n, i, ii(f(i, n - 1, i + 1, 1))), j := ii(f(j < n, j + 1, i + 1));
calculate Δ = Δz(π, i, j);
/* Δz(π, i, j) is the current difference of the objective function values */
if Δ < 0 then accept := 'TRUE'
else begin
generate uniform random number r from the interval [0,1];
if r < exp(-Δ/t) then accept := 'TRUE' else accept := 'FALSE'
end /* else */
if accept = 'TRUE' then begin
π := π ⊕ p_{ij}; /* replace the current permutation by the new one */
if z(π) < z(π∗) then π∗ := π;
/* save the best permutation found so far */
if Δ ≠ 0 then rejected_count := 0
end
else rejected_count := rejected_count + 1;
if (rejected_count ≥ n(n - 1)/4) or (t is at lowest point) then begin
if oscillation = 'FALSE' then begin
L∗ := k, t∗ := t, oscillation := 'TRUE'
end
update cooling schedule parameters L, t0, t1, β;
t := t0; /* reset the current temperature */
apply CRAFT to π∗
end
else t := t(1 + βt) /* decrease the current temperature */
end /* main loop */
end

Figure 8. Pseudo-code of the simulated annealing algorithm for the QAP. Here Δz(π, i, j) is calculated according to (1.3).

5.3. Hybridization scheme

The hybridization scheme used is as follows. At the beginning, an initial solution is generated in a random way with the subsequent improving by means of the simulated annealing algorithm (SA-QAP). Then, an iterative hybrid process starts. It consists
procedure TS-QAP /* tabu search algorithm for the QAP */
/* input: π – the current permutation, n – the problem size */
/* QTS – the number of iterations (QTS ≥ 1), */
/* h_{min}, h_{max} – lower and higher tabu list sizes (h_{min} < h_{max}) */
/* output: π^* – the best permutation found */
π^* := π;
for i := 1 to n – 1 do for j := i + 1 to n do
    calculate δ_{ij} = Δz(π, i, j);
T := 0, i := 1, j := 1;
for q := 1 to QTS do begin /* main loop */
    if q mod 2h_{max} = 1 then h := randint(h_{min}, h_{max});
    min := 1;
    for k := 1 to |N_j| do begin /* find the best move */
        i := if(j < n, i, if(i < n – 1, i + 1, 1));
        j := if(j < n, j + 1, i + 1);
        tabu := if((δ_{ij} < Δ_{min}) and (tabu = ’FALSE’)) or (aspired = ’TRUE’)
            then begin
                u := i, v := j;
                if aspired = ’TRUE’ then Δ_{min} := −∞ else Δ_{min} := δ_{ij}
            end /* if */
    end /* for */
    if Δ_{min} < −∞ then begin /* perform the move: replace the current permutation by the new one */
        π := π ⊕ \pi_{uv};
        for l := 1 to n – 1 do for m := l + 1 to n do
            update the difference δ_{lm};
        t_{uv} := q + h; /* update the tabu list */
        if \z(π) < \z(π^*) then π^* := π /* save the best so far permutation */
    end /* if */
end /* for */
end /* main loop */

Figure 9. Pseudo-code of the tabu search algorithm for the QAP, here \Delta \z(π, i, j) is calculated according to the formula (1.3), while the difference \delta_{lm} is updated according to (1.4). The function ”randint(x, y)” returns a random integer, uniformly distributed between x and y.

of two main phases, as mentioned in Section 2: simulated annealing (SA-QAP) and tabu search (TS-QAP). In addition, a diversification mechanism is used. The role of such a mechanism play mutations that can be seen as strings of random elementary perturbations (pairwise interchanges), like \pi_{ij}. The mutations may also be viewed as moves in higher-order neighbourhoods \mathbb{N}_\mu, where 2 < \mu ≤ n, here, the parameter \mu is referred to as a mutation level (rate). It is obvious that the large value of \mu, the stronger the mutation, and vice versa. The template of the mutation procedure based on random interchanges is presented in Figure 10.

A corresponding example is shown in Figure 11.

We can add more robustness to the mutation process by letting the parameter \mu vary in some interval, say [\mu_{min}, \mu_{max}] ⊂ [3, n]. In our implementation, \mu varies in the following way: at the beginning, \mu is equal to \mu_{min}; once the maximum value
procedure ri-mutation
/* random interchanges based mutation operator (ri-mutation) for the QAP */
/* input: $\pi$ – the current permutation, $n$ – the problem size, $\mu$ – the mutation level ($\mu \in [3, n]$) */
/* output: $\pi$ – the mutated permutation */
for $k := 1$ to $\mu$ do begin
choose $i, j$ randomly, uniformly, $1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j$
$\pi := \pi \oplus p_{i,j}$ /* interchange $i$th and $j$th elements in the current permutation */
end /* for $k$ */
end

Figure 10. Pseudo-code of the random interchanges based mutation.

Figure 11. Example of ri-mutation.

$\mu_{\text{max}}$ has been reached (or a better locally optimum solution has been discovered),
the value of $\mu$ is immediately dropped to the minimum value $\mu_{\text{min}}$, and so on. Note
that the mutations are to be applied to the locally optimum solutions only.

The specific feature of our hybridization scheme is that if the current locally
optimum solution remains unchanged for a long time (a "stagnation" of the search
takes place), then a "cold restart" of the search is carried into effect. As a "cold
restart", we use the construction (generation) of a new random solution coupled with
the simulated annealing algorithm – the same that it used at the initialization phase.
The purpose of such a restart is to add more diversity to the search, more precisely,
to explore new regions of the solution space: continuing the search from the new
random solution may allow to escape from a "deep" local optimum and to find better
ones. The frequency of "cold restarts" is controlled by means of a special parameter,
a restart interval, $v$, which can be related to the problem size, $n$, i.e. $v = \omega n$, where $\omega$
is a factor of the restart frequency ($0 < \omega < Q/n$, $Q$ is the total number of iterations
of the hybridized algorithm).

The template of the resulting hybrid optimization algorithm entitled as IH-SA-
TS-QAP (improved hybrid SA and TS algorithm for the QAP) is shown in Figure
12.

6. Simulation results

We have carried out a number of simulations in order to test the performance of our
improved hybrid algorithm IH-SA-TS-QAP. The well-known QAP instances (test
data) taken from the quadratic assignment problem library QAPLIB [9] (see also
procedure IH-SA-TS-QAP
/* improved hybrid simulated annealing-tabu search algorithm for the QAP */
/* input: A, B – the connection and distance matrices, n – the problem size */
/* Q – the number of cycles (global iterations) of the hybrid algorithm */
/* Q_{SA} – the number of iterations of the simulated annealing procedure */
/* Q_{TS} – the number of iterations of the tabu search procedure */
/* λ_1, λ_2, h_{min}, h_{max}, μ_{min}, μ_{max}, – the control parameters */
/* output: π^* – the best permutation found */
generate random (initial) permutation \( \hat{\pi} \);
apply SA-QAP to \( \hat{\pi} \) with the parameters \( Q_{SA}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \), and
get the resulting permutation \( \pi^* \):
\( \pi^* := \hat{\pi} \);
\( q := 0 \); /* \( q \) is the current number of iteration at which
the new local optimum has been found */
\( \mu := \mu_{min} - 1 \); /* \( \mu \) is the current mutation level */
\( v := \omega n \); /* \( v \) is the restart interval (period) */
for \( q := 1 \) to \( Q \) do begin
/* main cycle */
apply TS-QAP to \( \pi^* \) with the parameters \( Q_{TS}, h_{min}, h_{max} \), and
get the resulting permutation \( \pi^* \):
if \( z(\pi^*) < z(\pi^*_{old}) \) then begin
\( \pi^* := \pi^*_{old} \), \( q^* := q \), \( \mu := \mu_{min} - 1 \)
/* save the best so far permutation and reset the control parameters*/
end
if \( q - q^* > v \) then begin
/* perform a "cold restart" */
generate new random permutation \( \pi^* \);
apply SA-QAP to \( \pi^* \) with the parameters \( Q_{SA}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \), and
get the resulting permutation \( \pi^* \):
if \( z(\pi^*) < z(\pi^*) \) then \( \pi^* := \pi^* \); /* save the best so far permutation */
\( q^* := q \), \( \mu := \mu_{min} - 1 \)
end
else begin
\( \mu := \mu(if(\mu < \mu_{max}, \mu + 1, \mu_{min}) \); /* i.e. perform \( \mu \) random perturbations */
and get the permutation \( \tilde{\pi} \);
\( \pi^* := \tilde{\pi} \); /* \( \pi^* \) is the mutated permutation to be processed by TS procedure */
end
end /* for \( q \) */
end

Figure 12. Pseudo-code of the improved hybrid simulated annealing and tabu search algorithm for the QAP.
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http://www.seas.upenn.edu/qaplib/) were used. The following algorithms were used for comparison:

1) The simulated annealing algorithm by Boelte and Thonemann (coded by the author according to the description presented in the paper of Boelte and Thonemann [6]; the algorithm is entitled as TB2M-QAP);

2) The robust tabu search algorithm by Taillard [42] (it is entitled as RTS-QAP);

3) The combined simulated annealing and tabu search algorithm by Misevičius [34] (entitled as SA-TS-QAP).

Table 1. Comparison of the algorithms for the QAP. The values of the average deviation (\( \bar{\Theta} \)), the percentage of 1% optimality (\( P_1\% \)), and the CPU time (in seconds) are given. The values of the best average deviations are printed in bold face.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instance name</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>BKV</th>
<th>TB2M-QAP</th>
<th>RTS-QAP</th>
<th>SA-TS-QAP</th>
<th>IH-SA-TS-QAP</th>
<th>Average CPU time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( \bar{\Theta} )</td>
<td>( P_1% )</td>
<td>( \bar{\Theta} )</td>
<td>( P_1% )</td>
<td>( \bar{\Theta} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nug30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6124</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>15812</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>23386</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko56</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>34458</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>48498</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>66256</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko81</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>90998</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>115534</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko100b</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>153890</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko100c</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>147862</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko100d</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>149576</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko100e</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>149150</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko100f</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>149036</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tho30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>149936</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tho40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>240516</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wil50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48816</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wil100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>273038</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The performance measures used are the following:

1) the average deviation from the best known solution \( \bar{\Theta} = 100(\bar{z} - \tilde{z})/\tilde{z} \% \), where \( \bar{z} \) is the average objective function value over \( W = 1, 2, \ldots \) restarts (i.e. single applications of the algorithm to a given instance) and \( \tilde{z} \) is the best known value (BKV) of the objective function, BKVs are from [9];

2) the percentage of solutions that are within 1% optimality \( P_1\% = 100C_1\%/W \), where \( C_1\% \) is the total number of solutions that are within 1% optimality over \( W \) restarts.

All the simulations were carried out on 300 MHz Pentium computer by using the optimization package (sub-system) OPTIQAP (OPTimizer for the QAP) developed...
by the author at Dept. of Practical Informatics of Kaunas Univ. of Technology. The computations were organized in such a way that all the algorithms use identical initial assignments and require similar CPU times (the execution time is controlled by the number of iterations). The results of the comparison, i.e. the average deviations from BKV and percentage of solutions that are within 1% optimality for each of the algorithm tested, as well as CPU times per restart are presented in Table 1. The parameters of IH-SA-TS-QAP used in simulation are as follows:

\[ Q = 1, \quad Q_{SA} = 50, \quad Q_{TS} = 5Q_{SA} = 250, \]
\[ \lambda_1 = 0.5, \quad \lambda_2 = 0.05, \quad \mu_{min} = 0.35, \quad \mu_{max} = 0.45. \]

Let us note, that as long as the number of cycles, \( Q \), is equal to 1, the parameter \( \omega \) can be omitted. The number of restarts, \( W \), is equal to 100.

**Table 2.** Computational results of IH-SA-TS-QAP with the various numbers of iterations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instance name</th>
<th>1st (( W = 30 ))</th>
<th>2nd (( W = 30 ))</th>
<th>3rd (( W = 20 ))</th>
<th>4th (( W = 2 ))</th>
<th>5th (( W = 10 ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nug30</td>
<td>0.060[0] 5.0</td>
<td>0.002[29] 30.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko42</td>
<td>0.075[11] 10.5</td>
<td>0.003[28] 63.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko49</td>
<td>0.128[2] 14.9</td>
<td>0.044[6] 90.0</td>
<td>0.009[16] 250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko56</td>
<td>0.168[1] 20.5</td>
<td>0.049[3] 118</td>
<td>0.001[19] 340</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko64</td>
<td>0.156[3] 27.4</td>
<td>0.020[8] 162</td>
<td>0.000[19] 460</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko72</td>
<td>0.304[0] 35.0</td>
<td>0.117[0] 210</td>
<td>0.012[2] 570</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko81</td>
<td>0.191[0] 46.0</td>
<td>0.074[0] 275</td>
<td>0.016[2] 720</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko90</td>
<td>0.300[0] 57.0</td>
<td>0.133[0] 330</td>
<td>0.042[1] 930</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko100a</td>
<td>0.233[0] 72.0</td>
<td>0.114[0] 420</td>
<td>0.048[1] 1180</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko100b</td>
<td>0.221[0] 72.0</td>
<td>0.094[0] 420</td>
<td>0.020[0] 1200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko100c</td>
<td>0.209[0] 72.0</td>
<td>0.061[0] 420</td>
<td>0.014[1] 1190</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko100d</td>
<td>0.299[0] 72.0</td>
<td>0.130[0] 420</td>
<td>0.042[0] 1180</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko100e</td>
<td>0.243[0] 72.0</td>
<td>0.118[0] 420</td>
<td>0.014[1] 1210</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sko100f</td>
<td>0.278[0] 72.0</td>
<td>0.125[0] 420</td>
<td>0.049[0] 1180</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tho30</td>
<td>0.074[2] 4.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tho40</td>
<td>0.196[1] 9.2</td>
<td>0.023[6] 56.0</td>
<td>0.012[6] 165</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wil50</td>
<td>0.054[4] 16.0</td>
<td>0.008[19] 92</td>
<td>0.002[19] 264</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wil100a</td>
<td>0.175[0] 72.0</td>
<td>0.084[0] 420</td>
<td>0.011[0] 1200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It turns out that the efficiency of the algorithms depends on the QAP instance being solved. Nevertheless, the results from Table 1 show that our hybrid optimization algorithm IH-SA-TS-QAP appears to be superior to other three efficient algorithms with respect to both performance measures, especially, the average deviation. The difference in efficiency on particular instances is quite significant (see, for example, the results of RTS-QAP and IH-SA-TS-QAP obtained for the instances sko100a–sko100f, or wil50, wil100).
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The results of IH-SA-TS-QAP can be improved even more by increasing the values of the control parameters \( Q \) and/or \( Q_{SA} (Q_{TS}) \) but at the cost of a longer processing time. Five long runs were carried out in order to demonstrate the improvement of the quality of solutions. At each long run, the different values of the parameters \( Q, Q_{SA}, Q_{TS} \) are used:

1st run : \( Q = 30, \ Q_{SA} = 50, \ Q_{TS} = 250, \ \omega = 0.3; \)
2nd run : \( Q = 100, \ Q_{SA} = 50, \ Q_{TS} = 500, \ \omega = 0.3; \)
3rd run : \( Q = 200, \ Q_{SA} = 300, \ Q_{TS} = 1000, \ \omega = 0.1; \)
4th run : \( Q = 200, \ Q_{SA} = 500, \ Q_{TS} = 1500, \ \omega = 0.05; \)
5th run : \( Q = 300, \ Q_{SA} = 1000, \ Q_{TS} = 3000, \ \omega = 0.03; \)

the values of the other control parameters remain the same, except the parameter \( \omega \).

Table 2 shows the results obtained.

These results are very promising (see 5th column of Table 2): for small and medium instances \((n \leq 64)\) (except the instance tho40), the average deviation from the best known values of the objective function is equal to zero; while, for large instances \((n = 100)\), the deviation is less than 0.02\%. It can be seen from the results of 5th run that, for all the large instances tested, at least one restart (out of ten) of IH-SA-TS-QAP resulted in finding the best known solution. Moreover, for the instances sko100c and wil100, BKV was reached 5 and 4 times, respectively. This indicates that the solutions obtained for these instances are, most likely, pseudo-optimal. To our knowledge, the pseudo-optimality of the solutions for these instances has not been reported yet in the literature. It also should be stressed that even finding BKV for these instances is quite complicated task, for example, in [16], it was reported that to improve on the best known solutions for the instances sko100* on SPARC 10 processor, it took almost 24 hours of computation time. In a more recent work [29], an efficient genetic algorithm could not find BKV for any of these instances. It took up to 900 seconds on DEC Alpha Server 8400 to find the solutions with the average deviation around 0.3\% only.

7. Concluding remarks

The quadratic assignment problem is one of hard combinatorial optimization problems. In order to obtain near-optimal or optimal solutions for this problem within reasonable times, heuristic techniques are to be applied. One of them, an improved hybrid optimization algorithm, has been proposed in this paper.

Based on the well-known simulated annealing and tabu search approaches, as well as the intelligent hybridization strategy, we have developed an effective algorithm for the QAP – IH-SA-TS-QAP (improved hybrid SA and TS algorithm for the QAP), which is an extension of the earlier author’s hybrid algorithm. IH-SA-TS-QAP is distinguished for the so-called iterative hybridization scheme – a result of the elaborations of possible hybrid heuristic paradigms.
The additional features of our algorithm are the diversification and "cold restart" mechanisms that are used in order to try to avoid a possible "stagnation" of the search. These mechanisms and the refined hybridization scheme resulted in high quality solutions obtained during the simulations with a number of the QAP instances (test data) from the QAP library – QAPLIB. These solutions indicate that, for the QAP instances examined, the proposed algorithm appears to be superior to the "pure" simulated annealing and tabu search algorithms, as well as the earlier author’s hybrid (combined) SA and TS algorithm. Thus, it may be considered to be one of the most efficient single-solution algorithms for the QAP.

Regarding the future work, the emphasis on the further extensions of the proposed hybrid approach should be made. Both the elaboration of the hybridization scheme and improvements of its basic components (i.e. SA and TS procedures) are possible, for example:

a) introducing new cooling schedules for the SA algorithm;

b) applying other tabu conditions/aspiration criteria for the TS algorithm;

c) trying a more accurate adjustment (tuning) of the control parameters (the initial and final temperatures, the tabu list sizes, etc).

It might also be worthy to incorporate the proposed hybrid algorithm into other (population-based, hybrid) meta-heuristics, for example, genetic and evolutionary algorithms, as a very efficient local search procedure.
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